

REMARKS

Claims 1-16 are rejected. Claims 1-16 are pending. Claims 1 and 4-16 are amended herein. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application and claims. No new matter is added as a result of the amendments made herein. Support for the claim amendments can be found at least on page 6, starting at line 7, of the instant application.

Drawing Objections

The drawings are objected to by the Examiner as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because the drawings do not include the reference "402" mentioned in the description. The amendment to the specification made herein obviates this drawing objection.

In addition, Figure 1 is objected to by the Examiner since Figure 1 references an item number 108 which is not represented in the specification. The amendment to the specification made herein obviates this drawing objection.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the objections to drawings.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Du et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0006690). The Applicant has reviewed the Du et al. reference and respectfully submits that the embodiments of the present invention set forth in claims 1-16 are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Du et al.

The Examiner is respectively directed to independent claims 1, 9, and 11. Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1 and set forth additional limitations of embodiments of the claimed invention. Claim 10 depends from claim 9 and sets forth additional limitations of embodiments of the claimed invention. Claims 12-16 depend from claim 11 and set forth additional limitations of embodiments of the claimed invention. Du et al. does not anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention that are set forth in independent claims 1, 9, and 11. Du et al. does not teach or anticipate each and every limitations set forth in claims 1, 9, and 11.

In particular, Du et al. does not teach or suggest a machine-readable medium having stored thereon instructions that when executed by a machine result in the machine performing operations comprising “enabling a driver to pass predefined entertainment mode user account data stored on said computer system to an operating system (OS) of said computer system and to execute at least one API function of said OS” as recited in claim 1. Du et al. does not teach or suggest a method for “enabling a driver to load said entertainment mode user account data stored on said computer into a logon application of an operating system and to execute at least one API function of said operating system” as recited in claim 9. Furthermore, Du et al. does not teach or suggest a machine-readable medium having stored thereon instructions that when executed by a machine result in the machine performing operations comprising “enabling a driver to pass said entertainment mode user account data stored on said computer system to a logon process of an operating system associated with said computer system and to execute at least one API function of said operating system, if said entertainment mode power switch is activated to power said computer system” as recited in claim 11.

Consequently, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of independent

claims 1, 9, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §102 is traversed and that claims 1, 9 and 11 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims dependent on claims 1, 9, and 11 are likewise in condition for allowance.

Conclusions


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application and allowance of the pending claims.

The Applicant has reviewed the references cited but not relied upon and did not find these references to show or suggest the present claimed invention: US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0192089 and US Patent No. 6,813,777.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,
MURABITO HAO & BARNES LLP

Dated: 9/7, 2007



William A. Zarbis
Registration No.: 46,120
Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
(408) 938-9060